Lifetime Measurements in the Even-Even $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ Isotopes

M. Siciliano,^{1,2,3} J.J. Valiente-Dobón,² A. Goasduff,^{2,3,4} T.R. Rodríguez,⁵ D. Bazzacco,⁴ G. Benzoni,⁶ T. Braunroth,⁷ N. Cieplicka-Oryńczak,^{6,8} E. Clément,⁹ F.C.L. Crespi,^{6,10} G. de France,⁹ M. Doncel,¹¹ S. Ertürk,¹² C. Fransen,⁷ A. Gadea,¹³ G. Georgiev,¹⁴ A. Goldkuhle,⁷ U. Jakobsson,¹⁵ G. Jaworski,^{2,16} P.R. John,^{3,4,17} I. Kuti,¹⁸ A. Lemasson,⁹ H. Li,¹⁵ A. Lopez-Martens,¹⁴ T. Marchi,² D. Mengoni,^{3,4} C. Michelagnoli,^{9,19} T. Mijatović,²⁰ C. Müller-Gatermann,^{7,21} D.R. Napoli,² J. Nyberg,²² M. Palacz,¹⁶ R.M. Pérez-Vidal,^{13,2} B. Saygi,^{2,23,24} D. Sohler,¹⁸ S. Szilner,²⁰ and D. Testov^{3,4,25}

¹*Irfu/CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.*

²*INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Legnaro, Italy.*

³*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Padua, Italy.*

⁴*INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padua, Italy.*

⁵*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.*

⁶*INFN, Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy.*

⁷*Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, Cologne, Germany.*

⁸*Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-31342 Krakow, Poland*

⁹*Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds, Irfu/CEA/DRF and CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France.*

¹⁰*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy.*

¹¹*Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain.*

¹²*Ömer Halisdemir Üniversitesi, Niğde, Turkey.*

¹³*Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.*

¹⁴*IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.*

¹⁵*Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.*

¹⁶*Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.*

¹⁷*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany.*

¹⁸*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.*

¹⁹*Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France.*

²⁰*Ruđer Bošković Institute and University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia.*

²¹*Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont (IL), United States.*

²²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.*

²³*Ege Üniversitesi, İzmir, Turkey.*

²⁴*Department of Physics, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey.*

²⁵*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.*

Background: The heaviest $T_z = 0$ doubly-magic nucleus, ^{100}Sn , and the neighboring nuclei offer unique opportunities to investigate the properties of nuclear interaction in extreme conditions. Studies of light Sn nuclei are hindered by their relatively high mass, proton-rich character and the presence of low-lying isomers. Having only two proton holes in the $Z = 50$ shell, the Cd isotopes are expected to present features similar to those found in the Sn isotopic chain.

Purpose: The aim of this work was to measure lifetimes of excited states in neutron-deficient nuclei in the vicinity of ^{100}Sn .

Methods: The neutron-deficient nuclei in the $N \approx Z \approx 50$ region were populated using a multi-nucleon transfer reaction with a ^{106}Cd beam and a ^{92}Mo target. The complete identification of the beam-like reaction products was possible thanks to the VAMOS++ spectrometer, while the γ rays were detected using the AGATA array. Lifetimes of excited states were determined using the Recoil Distance Doppler-Shift method, employing the Cologne differential plunger.

Results: Lifetimes of low-lying states were measured in the even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ isotopes. In particular, multiple states with excitation energy up to ≈ 3 MeV, belonging to various bands, were populated in ^{106}Cd via inelastic scattering. The transition strengths corresponding to the measured lifetimes were compared with those resulting from state-of-the-art beyond-mean-field calculations using the symmetry-conserving configuration-mixing approach.

Conclusions: Despite the similarities in the electromagnetic properties of the low-lying states, there is a fundamental structural difference between the ground-state bands in the $Z = 48$ and $Z = 50$ isotopes. The comparison between experimental and theoretical results revealed a rotational character of the Cd nuclei, which have prolate-deformed ground states with $\beta_2 \approx 0.2$. At this deformation $Z = 48$ becomes a closed-shell configuration, which is favored with respect to the spherical one.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Tg, 23.20.Lv, 25.70.Hi, 27.60.+j, 29.30.Aj, 29.30.Kv, 29.40.Gx

In recent years, the interest in studies of nuclear structure around $Z = 50$ has significantly increased.

This region presents unique conditions to investigate observables, such as excitation energies, quadrupole moments and reduced transition probabilities, starting from neutron-deficient nuclei close to the proton drip line, up to neutron-rich isotopes towards and beyond the $N = 82$ neutron shell closure. Consequently, the longest isotopic chains between two experimentally accessible shell closures – i.e. tellurium ($Z = 52$), tin ($Z = 50$) and cadmium ($Z = 48$) isotopes – are being extensively studied in order to probe the evolution of nuclear properties in both stable and exotic nuclei.

Due to the rather constant excitation energies of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states and the presence of low-lying isomers in the even-mass nuclei, the $Z = 50$ semi-magic Sn isotopes have been considered for decades to be excellent examples of pairing dominance, showing the typical features of seniority schemes [1–3]. On the other hand, the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.})$ reduced transition probabilities remain almost constant for the $106 \leq A \leq 114$ Sn nuclei, instead of following the parabolic trend expected for the pairing domination. This observation casts doubts on the validity of the generalized seniority interpretation. In particular, recent works [4, 5] highlighted the key role of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition strengths in revealing the delicate balance between pairing and quadrupole correlations in the light Sn isotopes. Furthermore, thanks to the precise determination of the $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ value in ^{108}Sn [4], Zuker [5] proved how the sole information on the 2_1^+ states is not sufficient for an in-depth description of the nuclei in this mass region: any “sufficiently good” interaction is capable of reproducing the electromagnetic properties of the 2_1^+ states.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Systematics of the experimental $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.})$ reduced transition probabilities for the even-mass Cd (red squares) and Sn (blue circles) isotopic chains. Results are taken from Ref. [6].

The Cd isotopes, which have only two proton holes in the $Z = 50$ shell, are expected to present features similar to those found for the Sn nuclei. For instance, Figure 1

shows that the $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.})$ values display similar trends in the Sn and Cd isotopic chains, except for the larger collectivity of the latter. Moreover, the excitation energies of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states in even-mass Cd nuclei are rather constant, similarly to the Sn isotopes. Therefore, one can expect that the experimental information on the $Z = 48$ nuclei may not only be important in itself, but it may also provide an insight into the structure of the corresponding $Z = 50$ isotones.

Based on the excitation energies of their low-lying states, the cadmium isotopes have been considered a textbook example of harmonic quadrupole-vibrational nuclei [7–11] with a two-phonon triplet and a three-phonon quintuplet of levels at approximately twice and three times the energy of the 2_1^+ state, respectively. On the other hand, the electromagnetic properties of the Cd isotopes, i.e. quadrupole moments and transition strengths, put their vibrational character in doubt. In fact, recent experimental results from multi-step Coulomb excitation and lifetime measurements have demonstrated a substantial disagreement with a vibrational structure and revealed a systematic trend of the $B(E2)$ values in the even-even $^{110-116}\text{Cd}$ isotopes [12–20]. However, the lack of precise experimental information makes it difficult to assess whether the vibrational picture still holds for the neutron-deficient Cd nuclei.

The experiment described in this work aimed at the determination of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}$ and $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition strengths in neutron-deficient $Z \leq 50$ nuclei by measuring lifetimes of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states. The results concerning the light Sn isotopes were discussed in Ref. [4], while the present manuscript focuses on the lifetimes of low-lying states in even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$. The results are compared with the predictions of new beyond-mean-field calculations using the symmetry-conserving configuration-mixing approach. The general features and the evolution of the ground-state structure are discussed for the whole Cd isotopic chain, with a particular focus on the variety of excited bands in ^{106}Cd .

I. EXPERIMENT

Multi-nucleon transfer (MNT) is a reaction mechanism widely adopted to study neutron-rich nuclei [21–23]. However, in the present experiment this reaction mechanism was applied, somehow unusually, to populate neutron-deficient nuclei in the vicinity of ^{100}Sn . For this purpose, a ^{106}Cd beam at 770 MeV energy, provided by the separated-sector cyclotron of the GANIL facility, impinged on a 0.8 mg/cm^2 ^{92}Mo target. The lifetime measurement was performed with the Recoil Distance Doppler-Shift (RD DS) method [24–26]. The target was mounted on the differential Cologne plunger with a 1.6 mg/cm^2 thick ^{nat}Mg degrader placed downstream. Eight different target-degrader distances in the $31\text{--}521 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ range were used to measure the lifetimes of interest. The complete identification of the beam-like

reaction products was performed with the VAMOS++ magnetic spectrometer [27–29], placed at the grazing angle $\theta_{lab}=25^\circ$. The emitted γ rays were detected by the γ -ray tracking detector array AGATA [30, 31], consisting of 8 triple-cluster detectors placed in a compact configuration (18.5 cm from the target) at backward angles with respect to the beam direction. The combination of the pulse-shape analysis [32] and the Orsay Forward-Tracking (OFT) algorithm [33] allowed reconstruction of the trajectories of the γ rays emitted by the reaction products. More details can be found in Refs. [4, 34–36].

II. LIFETIME ANALYSIS

Combining the precise determination of the ion velocity vector given by VAMOS++ and the identification of the first interaction point of each γ ray inside AGATA, Doppler correction was applied on an event-by-event basis. The magnetic spectrometer directly measured the ion velocity after the degrader ($\beta_{after} \approx 9\%$) and this velocity was used to correct the detected γ -ray energy. The velocity of the ions before the degrader ($\beta_{before} \approx 10\%$) was reconstructed by taking into account the direction and the energy loss of the ions inside the Mg foil. For each transition two peaks were observed, related to the emission of the γ -ray before the Mg foil (*shifted component*) and after it (*unshifted component*). The relative intensities of the unshifted (I^u) and shifted (I^s) components depend on the ratio between the lifetime of the investigated state and the target-degrader time of flight, which depends on the β_{before} velocity and the plunger distance [25]. Specifically, the ratio $R(x) \equiv \frac{I^u}{I^u + I^s}$, called *decay curve*, is described by the Bateman equations.

The lifetimes of the excited states were extracted using the NAPATAU software [37], applying the Differential Decay Curve Method (DDCM) [25] by fitting the area of both the shifted and the unshifted components with a polynomial piecewise function. These intensities were scaled according to an external normalization, given by the number of ions identified in VAMOS++ [38]. This normalization is not only proportional to the beam intensity and duration of the measurement, but it also provides a measure of possible degradation of the target during the experiment. For each i -th target-stopper distance the lifetime τ_i is obtained as

$$\tau_i = \frac{I_i^u - \sum_j (Br \alpha I_i^u)_j}{\frac{d}{dt} I_i^s}, \quad (1)$$

where the summation is extended over j feeding transitions, each with a certain branching ratio (Br) and parameter α , which includes the efficiency correction and the angular correlation between the transition of interest and the feeding one. The α parameters were extracted from a γ -ray energy spectrum obtained by summing up the statistics collected for all target-degrader

distances [25, 37]. In the case of the γ - γ coincidence procedure with a gate placed on the feeding transition, the contributions from feeding transitions are eliminated and this term is null. The final result is given by a weighted average of the lifetimes within the sensitive region of the technique, i.e. where the derivative of the fitting function is largest.

For the less intense channels, the Decay-Curve Method (DCM) was adopted. Since it relies on well-defined fitting functions, whose parameters can be deduced experimentally, this technique permits to measure lifetimes even if the number of experimental points is limited. A particular application of the method is the R_{sum} approach [39]. In this approach, if the statistics is not sufficient to determine the area of the γ -ray transition components for each target-degrader distance, the spectra obtained for the different target-degrader distances are summed up. The lifetime is then calculated from the solution of the weighted average of the decay curves $R_j(x_j, \tau)$

$$R_{sum} \equiv \frac{\sum_j I_j^u}{\sum_j (I_j^u + I_j^s)} = \sum_j n_j R(x_j, \tau) \quad (2)$$

where x_j denotes the plunger distance and n_j is the normalization factor for each distance. The normalization factors n_j were given by the total number of γ rays detected by AGATA in time coincidence with the shifted component.

III. RESULTS

The coupling of the AGATA and VAMOS++ spectrometers represents a powerful tool for high precision spectroscopy. By requiring a time coincidence between the identified reaction products and the detected γ rays, it is possible to clearly select the channels of interest.

Additionally, the combination of a MNT reaction, which is a binary mechanism (secondary processes, such as particle evaporation, are negligible), with the complete recoil identification in VAMOS++ allowed us to reconstruct the Total Kinetic-Energy Loss (TKEL) on an event-by-event basis [40]. This quantity is proportional to the total excitation energy of the investigated nucleus [26, 41]. While, in the Sn case, a TKEL gate was applied to control the direct feeding of the states [4, 36], in the present analysis it was used to reduce the possible presence of the inelastically scattered ^{106}Cd beam, which could contaminate other channels despite the extraordinary performance of the magnetic spectrometer.

In the following, the lifetime measurements in the even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ isotopes are presented, with each case discussed in detail. Figure 2 shows the partial level schemes of the investigated nuclei with the γ -ray transitions observed in the current measurement. Table I summarizes the measured lifetimes obtained with the different techniques.

FIG. 2: Partial level scheme of the even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ presenting the transitions observed in the current measurement. Spin and parity of the states are assigned according to the NNDC On-Line Data Service from the ENSDF database [42] (file revised as of August 2009 for ^{102}Cd , September 2009 for ^{104}Cd , June 2008 for ^{106}Cd , and October 2008 for ^{108}Cd).

A. ^{102}Cd

In order to reduce the contamination caused by the ^{106}Cd beam, a $\text{TKEL} > 32$ MeV condition was imposed. Such threshold permitted to limit as much as possible the presence of γ -ray peaks related to the inelastically scattered beam, without decreasing the statistics in the transitions of interest. In these conditions, the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+$, $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ and $6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transitions in ^{102}Cd were clearly identified in the γ -ray energy spectrum obtained by summing up the statistics from all the distances, as shown in Figure 3.

Since the statistics of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ shifted component were not sufficient for a coincidence measurement, the lifetime of the 2_1^+ state was obtained via DDCM by subtracting the contribution of the unshifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition. Figure 4 presents the DDCM analysis, resulting in a lifetime $\tau(2_1^+) = 5.6$ (6) ps, which is in agreement with literature [43, 44].

Due to the limited statistics of the $6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transition it was not possible to determine the area of the

shifted and unshifted components for the individual distances. The lifetime was, therefore, measured via DDCM adopting the so-called “gate from below” approach [45], resulting in $\tau(4_1^+) = 3.6$ (12) ps.

The results are reported in Table I.

B. ^{104}Cd

Figure 2 presents the partial level scheme of ^{104}Cd , populated via two-neutrons stripping, showing the transitions observed in the singles γ -ray energy spectrum and in the γ - γ matrix obtained by summing the statistics of the different target-degrader distances. Due to the complexity of the decay pattern and the presence of γ -ray transitions with a similar energy, coincidence techniques were necessary to extract the lifetimes of several excited states.

The lifetime of the 2_1^+ state, fed by a single transition, was measured via DDCM by subtracting the contribution from the unshifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ tran-

FIG. 3: (Color online) Doppler-corrected γ -ray energy spectrum of ^{102}Cd before (black) and after (green) the gate on the Total Kinetic-Energy Loss (TKEL), obtained by summing up the statistics of all the target-degrader distances. The $2^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}$ (red), $4^+ \rightarrow 2^+$ (blue) and $6^+ \rightarrow 4^+$ (orange) transitions are marked, indicating the unshifted and shifted centroids with a solid and a dashed line, respectively.

FIG. 4: (Color online) DDCM analysis for the lifetime measurement of the 2_1^+ excited state of ^{102}Cd . (top) Area of the shifted (red diamonds) and feeding-corrected unshifted (blue triangles) components, normalized to the number of ions detected in VAMOS++. The dashed line represents a fit to the shifted-component points. (bottom) Corresponding lifetimes obtained for individual distances. The solid line denotes the weighted average of the lifetimes, while the filled area corresponds to 1σ statistical uncertainty.

sition, yielding $\tau(2_1^+) = 9.6(3)$ ps. By gating on the shifted component of the same feeding transition, similar result was obtained via the R_{sum} approach, resulting in $\tau(2_1^+) = 10.0^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$ ps. These results are in a perfect agreement with values reported in literature [43, 44, 46].

Due to the presence of multiple feeding transitions, the lifetime of the 4_1^+ state was measured via DDCM

by gating on the unshifted component of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+$ transition, and via the R_{sum} approach by gating on the shifted component of the 878-keV $6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transition. The two techniques yielded $\tau(4_1^+) = 1.6(5)$ ps and $\tau(4_1^+) = 1.44^{+0.33}_{-0.24}$ ps, respectively. Both results are compatible with the most accurate and recent measurement, reported in Ref. [43].

Due to the limited statistics and the presence of various feeding transitions, the 6_1^+ excited state was studied only via the R_{sum} method. Unfortunately, due to the close proximity of the unshifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, a very narrow gate had to be set on the shifted component of the 841-keV $8_2^+ \rightarrow 6_1^+$ transition. The consequent limited statistics was not sufficient to determine the lifetime of the 6_1^+ state, but an upper limit $\tau(6_1^+) < 6$ ps could be obtained.

The lifetimes of the 2_1^+ , 4_1^+ and 6_1^+ states are presented in Table I.

C. ^{106}Cd

The lifetime of the 2_1^+ excited state in ^{106}Cd , equal to 10.4 (2) ps, was obtained via DDCM from the present data [35]. The same result was obtained via DCM, see Table I, and the excellent agreement between the results of the two approaches validated the calibration of the plunger device. In the R_{sum} approach, since it is based on the Bateman equations, the knowledge of the absolute target-degrader distances is crucial to properly measure lifetimes [35]. In the spectrum obtained by summing up the statistics of all the distances and then gating on the shifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, the intensity ratio resulted 0.56 (1). Considering this experimental value and exponential functions as $R_j(x_j, \tau)$ decay curves, Figure 5 presents the R_{sum} analysis for the 2_1^+ state, yielding a lifetime of $\tau(2_1^+) = 10.1(3)$ ps, which confirms the validity of this approach.

The lifetime of the 5_1^- excited state was obtained via the R_{sum} approach by gating on the shifted component of the 691-keV transition de-exciting the 6^- state. The resulting lifetime is $\tau(5^-) = 8.2(4)$ ps. The obtained precision is over an order of magnitude better than that of the previous lifetime measurement of this state [47].

Since several γ -ray transitions were observed feeding the 4_1^+ state and the statistics in their shifted components were not sufficient for a coincidence measurement, the lifetime of the 4_1^+ state was extracted via DDCM by gating on the unshifted component of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+$ transition. The analysis yielded $\tau(4_1^+) = 1.4(2)$ ps.

For the following states: 0_2^+ ($E_x = 1795.3$ keV), 2_2^+ ($E_x = 1716.5$ keV), 4_3^+ ($E_x = 2304.9$ keV), $(2)^+$ ($E_x = 2347.6$ keV), 6_2^+ ($E_x = 2503.1$ keV) and $2^+, 3^+, 4^+$ ($E_x = 2485.7$ keV) no feeding transitions were observed in both singles γ -ray spectra and γ - γ matrices. Therefore, their lifetimes were determined via DCM using an exponential function and, for the most intense channels, via DDCM as well.

The 4_2^+ excited state was investigated via DCM using second-order Bateman equations. This state was observed, in both singles γ -ray energy spectra and γ - γ matrices, to be fed only by the $5^+ \rightarrow 4_2^+$ and $5_1^- \rightarrow 4_2^+$ transitions. The direct population of these states, which is a parameter of Bateman equations, was extracted from the γ -ray spectrum obtained by summing up the statistics of all target-degrader distances [25]. The direct populations resulting from the efficiency and branching-ratio corrected intensities of the observed transitions were 59(4)%, 28(3)% and 14(3)% for the 4_2^+ , 5^+ and 5_1^- states, respectively. Taking into account the known lifetime $\tau(5^+) = 0.9(3)$ ns [48] and the $\tau(5_1^-)$ measured in the present work, the lifetime of the 4_2^+ level was determined to be $\tau(4_2^+) = 4.1(7)$ ps.

For the 6_1^+ excited state, no feeding transitions were observed in singles γ -ray spectra and γ - γ matrices. On the other hand, the decay curve of the $6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transition (see Figure 6) suggested feeding from a state with a lifetime longer than that of the 6_1^+ state. Assuming a two-step decay cascade, the lifetime of the 6_1^+ state was determined to be $\tau(6_1^+) = 1.3(2)$ ps, while for the feeding state a value of 24 (5) ps was obtained. As the nature of this feeding level is unknown, an upper limit of 2 ps for the 6_1^+ lifetime was determined by fitting the decay curve with an exponential function.

An upper limit of 0.3 ps can be set for the lifetimes of the 2_4^+ , 3^- and 5_2^- excited states, since in the γ -ray spectra only the shifted components of the $2_4^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$, $3^- \rightarrow 2_1^+$ and $5_2^- \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transitions were observed for the shortest plunger distances. The same limit can be determined for a state de-exciting via a ≈ 2080 -keV transition. Unfortunately, this decay may originate from either

FIG. 5: (Color online) Decay curve as a function of the lifetime of the 2_1^+ excited state in ^{106}Cd , obtained with the R_{sum} approach. The black line represents the experimental value obtained by summing up the statistics of all target-degrader distances and gating on the shifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition. The red curve is the expected value calculated with Equation 2. The interception between the experimental and expected values (green line) represents the lifetime of the state. All the dashed curves denote the 1σ uncertainty.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Ratio of the component intensities as a function of the target-degrader distance for the $6_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+$ transition in ^{106}Cd . The solid red line represents the fitted decay curve obtained with second-order Bateman equations, whose components are shown with dashed and dotted blue lines for the feeder and the direct population, respectively.

of the levels at the excitation energy of 2717.9 keV and 2720.6 keV, so it is not possible to unambiguously attribute this upper limit.

The results obtained via both DCM and DDCM are summarized in Table I.

D. ^{108}Cd

In both singles γ -ray energy spectra and γ - γ matrices no contamination from the ^{106}Cd beam was observed. This result is important for the study of ^{108}Cd , since the two isotopes present similar structures with γ -ray transitions very close in energy. Figure 2 shows the partial level scheme of ^{108}Cd , indicating the observed transitions.

The lifetime of the 2_1^+ excited state was measured with the R_{sum} method by gating on the shifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, resulting in $\tau(2_1^+) = 10_{-2}^{+3}$ ps. The large uncertainty of the lifetime is mostly due to the limited statistics resulting from the use of γ - γ coincidences, even though the statistics of all target-degrader distances were summed together. Therefore a DDCM analysis for this lifetime was performed by subtracting the intensity of the unshifted component of the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transition, yielding $\tau(2_1^+) = 10.8(9)$ ps.

Due to the limited statistics and the presence of various feeding transitions, the lifetime of the 4_1^+ state was obtained via DDCM by gating on the unshifted component of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+$ transition. This approach yielded $\tau(4_1^+) = 1.4(5)$ ps, which is in agreement with the result reported in Ref. [49].

Table I reports the lifetimes extracted for the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states.

TABLE I: Measured lifetimes of the excited states I_i^π in the even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ isotopes. The lifetimes are extracted from the $I_i^\pi \rightarrow I_f^\pi$ transitions and the results are compared with literature values.

	I_i^π	I_f^π	E_γ [keV]	DDCM	τ [ps] DCM	Literature
^{102}Cd	2_1^+	$0_{g.s.}^+$	777	5.6 (6)	-	5.9 (5) [43] 5.2 (7) [44]
	4_1^+	2_1^+	861	3.6 (12)	-	< 8.1 [50]
^{104}Cd	2_1^+	$0_{g.s.}^+$	658	9.6(3)	$10.0^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	9 (3) [46] 8.5 (12) [43] 9.2 (5) [44]
	4_1^+	2_1^+	834	1.6 (5)	$1.44^{+0.33}_{-0.24}$	< 6 [46] 1.5 (5) [43]
	6_1^+	4_1^+	878	-	< 6	< 6 [46]
^{106}Cd	0_2^+	2_1^+	1163	1.7 (5)	1.3 (1)	-
	2_1^+	$0_{g.s.}^+$	633	10.4 (2) [35]	10.7 (4) [35] 10.1 (3)	10.5 (1) [51] 9.4 (4) [49] 10.1 (8) [52] 10.1 (6) [53] 7.0 (3) [54]
	2_2^+	$0_{g.s.}^+$	1717	0.46 (10)	0.51 (2)	0.45 (7) [49]
		2_1^+	1084	0.41 (4)	0.55 (3)	0.28 (2) [54]
	2_4^+	2_1^+	1934	-	< 0.3	-
	$(2)^+$	2_1^+	1715	-	0.59 (2)	-
	$2^+, 3^+, 4^+$	2_1^+	1853	2.2 (3)	2.4 (2)	-
	3^-	2_1^+	1746	-	< 0.3	0.16 (1) [54]
	4_1^+	2_1^+	861	1.4 (2)	-	1.26 (16) [49, 53] 2.5 (2) [54]
	4_2^+	2_1^+	1472	-	4.1 (7)	≤ 2.9 [47]
	4_3^+	4_1^+	811	-	1.1 (1)	1.1 (1) [54]
	5_1^-	4_2^+	525	-	8.2 (4)	7^{+6}_{-3} [47]
	5_2^-	4_1^+	1426	-	< 0.3	-
	6_1^+	4_1^+	998	-	< 2	-
	6_2^+	4_1^+	1009	1.3 (6)	1.21 (15)	-
^{108}Cd	2_1^+	$0_{g.s.}^+$	633	10.8 (9)	10^{+3}_{-2}	9.9 (1) [51] 9.1 (4) [49]
	4_1^+	2_1^+	876	1.4 (5)	-	1.28 (16) [49]

IV. DISCUSSION

In view of the measured lifetimes, the even-mass Cd nuclei were studied within a self-consistent beyond-mean-field framework [55, 56], i.e. the symmetry-conserving configuration mixing (SCCM) [57, 58] method, with the Gogny-D1S [59, 60] interaction and a model space consisting of $N_{h.o.} = 9$ harmonic-oscillator orbitals. The calculations are based on the mixing of a set of intrinsic states with different quadrupole (axial and non axial) deformations. These states are Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) like wave functions obtained self-consistently through the particle-number variation-after-projection

(PNVAP) method [61]. Since the HFB states break the rotational invariance of the system, this symmetry is consequently restored by projecting onto good angular momentum (particle-number and angular-momentum projection, PNAMP). The final spectrum and the nuclear wave functions are obtained by mixing such PNAMP states within the generator coordinate method.

A first estimation of the structure of the Cd isotopes can be obtained by analysing the calculated potential-energy surfaces (PES) as a function of deformation parameters. Figure 7 presents the PNVAP energies as a function of the (β_2, γ) deformation parameters for the even-mass $^{100-130}\text{Cd}$. For all studied isotopes a well de-

FIG. 7: (Color online) PNVAP potential energy surfaces as a function of the (β_2, γ) deformation parameters for the even-mass $^{100-130}\text{Cd}$ isotopes. The results are obtained with the Gogny-D1S interaction within the SCCM approach.

finer prolate minimum with $\beta_2 = 0.1 - 0.2$ is present in the PES, except for $^{128-130}\text{Cd}$, which exhibit practically spherical minima, due to the vicinity of the $N = 82$ shell closure. Moreover, for the $^{110-118}\text{Cd}$ isotopes a shallow second triaxial-prolate minimum with $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.3, 20^\circ)$ is obtained.

The final theoretical spectra, obtained by mixing intrinsic HFB-like states with different quadrupole deformations, are presented in Figure 8(c), which shows the systematics of the excitation energies for the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states. The theoretical predictions correctly reproduce the trends observed experimentally for the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states, although they overestimate the absolute values, especially for the 2_1^+ energies above $N = 64$ and for the 4_1^+ energies in the whole range of neutron numbers. This is a well-known effect in the present form of the SCCM method where only static intrinsic shapes are considered in the mixing. Thus, the ground state is variationally favored with respect to the excited states and, as a result, a stretched spectrum is obtained. A better approach would be an SCCM method that includes intrinsically rotating (cranking) states. Within such a framework it is possible to explore on an equal footing collective ground and excited states and the variational approach does not produce such a stretching. However, this improvement is very demanding from the computational point of view, especially for nuclei in this medium-mass region. Nevertheless, the inclusion of the triaxial degree of freedom in

the SCCM calculations improves significantly the agreement with the experimental data with respect to previous axial calculations [69]. Notably, the intriguing lowering of the 2_1^+ energy from ^{126}Cd to ^{128}Cd is still reproduced by the present calculations. In the vicinity of a shell closure, the excitation energy of the 2_1^+ state is expected to increase and display a parabolic trend as a function of nucleon number. Not only such a parabolic increase has not been observed experimentally, but the excitation energy of the 2_1^+ states slightly decreases. This pattern was reproduced, for the first time, by the previous axial calculations [69] and a better agreement is found with the present ones.

In Figure 8 the experimental $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+)$ and $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ strengths are compared to the theoretical results of SCCM, together with the predictions of Ref. [5] for the neutron-deficient isotopes. An unusual behaviour is found for ^{114}Cd , where prolate and triaxial-prolate configurations cross for $J^\pi = 4^+$, leading to a decrease of the 4_1^+ excitation energy and a consequent increase of the $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ reduced transition probability. Except for this single case, the calculated strengths of the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+$ and $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ transitions well reproduce the trend of the experimental results, slightly overestimating the β_2 deformation. This slight overestimation is a plausible explanation for the almost perfect reproduction of the 2_1^+ excitation energies in $^{100-110}\text{Cd}$. The theoretical values, indeed, should be larger than the

FIG. 8: (Color online) Reduced transition probabilities (a) $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_{g.s.}^+)$ and (b) $B(E2; 4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$, and (c) 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ excitation energy systematics for even-mass Cd isotopes. The experimental results [43, 44, 46, 49–54, 62–68] are compared with the recent Large-Scale Shell-Model (LSSM) calculations of Ref. [5] (blue open pentagons) and the present SCCM predictions (red open circles and squares).

experimental ones for a SCCM method without cranking terms.

The collective wave functions (CWF), i.e. the weights of the intrinsic quadrupole deformations in each nuclear state, are presented for the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ excited states in Figure 9 and Figure 10, respectively. For all 0_1^+ states (not shown), the SCCM calculations predict a well-defined prolate minimum with deformation $\beta_2 = 0.2$, which slightly decreases for $N \geq 76$ due to the proximity of the neutron shell closure. A non-zero deformation of the ground states in the Cd nuclei was also deduced from the LSSM calculations of Zuker [5] and its origin was attributed to the pseudo-SU(3) symmetry, due to the evident quadrupole dominance in the nuclear interaction. Similar behavior is predicted for the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states, except for the $^{110-118}\text{Cd}$ nuclei presenting a second triaxial-prolate minimum in the PES of Figure 7. For

those nuclei, the CWFs of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states are spread in both β_2 and γ . This suggests that they constitute perfect candidates for shape coexistence, as investigated for $^{110,112}\text{Cd}$ in the recent work of Garrett *et al.* [20].

As the ground-state bands are expected to present the features of prolate-deformed rotors, the intrinsic quadrupole moments and, consequently, the β_2 deformation parameters can be extracted from the measured lifetimes of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states, as discussed in detail in Ref. [70, Sec.IV]. Assuming an axially symmetric rotational model, the deduced average β_2 parameters are 0.14 and 0.16 for ^{102}Cd and for the even-mass $^{104-108}\text{Cd}$, respectively. These results are in agreement with the constant deformation predicted by the SCCM calculations, even though the theoretical predictions slightly overestimate its magnitude. On the other hand, the quadrupole deformation deduced from the experimental results assuming the axial rotor model slightly decreases with spin in the ground-state bands. However, due to the paucity of experimental information on the lifetimes of higher-spin states, this trend cannot be investigated further.

Contrary to what is observed in the neutron-deficient Sn isotopes [4], no unusual trends are present for the reduced transition probabilities between the low-lying states in the light Cd nuclei. The $Z = 48$ nuclei behave instead as prolate-deformed rotors, as suggested also in Ref. [5]. Even though these two isotopic chains differ by only two protons, the Sn and Cd nuclei present completely different structures whose origin can be attributed to a rearrangement of the nuclear orbitals. While for the Gogny-D1S interaction the spherical $Z = 50$ gap remains rather constant along the Cd isotopic chain and has the same size as for the Sn nuclei, in the Nilsson plots a gap is produced at a prolate-deformed configuration, due to the lowering of the $d_{5/2}$ and $g_{7/2}$, and the rise of the $g_{9/2}$ proton orbitals. At this deformation, $Z = 48$ is a closed-shell configuration and is favored with respect to the spherical one [69]. Thus, the structure of the ground-state band changes completely between the Cd and the Sn isotopes: the former are dominated by rotational structures, while the latter have seniority spectra associated to particle-pair breaking. This fundamental structural change is obscured by the observed similarities between the $Z = 48$ and $Z = 50$ nuclei in terms of several experimental observables. The present study demonstrates that, contrary to what one could naively imagine, it is not possible to infer details of the structure of $Z = 50$ nuclei from the properties of the $Z = 48$ ones and vice versa. This does not preclude, however, using the experimental data on one chain in order to refine the model description of the neighbouring one. For instance, Zuker [5] tuned the adopted nuclear interaction to the experimental information on the Cd isotopes, where the quadrupole dominance is evident, and subsequently used this interaction to investigate the Sn nuclei. Finally, the lowering of the $d_{5/2}$, $g_{7/2}$ orbits and the rise of the $g_{9/2}$ neutron orbitals could also favor the proton-neutron coupling that would eventually produce the $5/2^+$

FIG. 9: (Color online) Collective wave functions (CWF) as a function of the (β_2, γ) deformation parameters for the 2_1^+ states in the even-mass $^{100-130}\text{Cd}$ isotopes. The results are obtained with the Gogny-D1S interaction within the SCCM approach.

FIG. 10: (Color online) Collective wave functions (CWF) as a function of the (β_2, γ) deformation parameters for the 4_1^+ states in the even-mass $^{100-130}\text{Cd}$ isotopes. The results are obtained with the Gogny-D1S interaction within the SCCM approach.

ground states found for the odd-mass cadmium isotopes $^{101-109}\text{Cd}$ [71]. Nevertheless, a detailed SCCM calculation that could confirm such ground state properties of the odd-even Cd isotopes is beyond the scope of the present work.

A. ^{106}Cd

Contrary to the common view of the Cd nuclei as harmonic quadrupole vibrators, the theoretical calculations predict the low-lying bands to be due to rotation of deformed structures (see Figure 11). This result is in agreement with the conclusions of a recent study on $^{110,112}\text{Cd}$ [20], which suggested that an interpretation of these nuclei as exhibiting coexistence of multiple distinct structures is more appropriate than a vibrational picture.

FIG. 11: (Color online) Excitation energy of low-lying states in ^{106}Cd as a function of their angular momentum, calculated with the Gogny-D1S interaction within the SCCM approach. Rotational bands with different (β_2, γ) deformations are predicted: prolate-deformed ground-state band (black), pseudo-gamma band (red), oblate-deformed shape-mixed band (blue), $K = 4$ band (green), and prolate-deformed shape-mixed band (magenta).

As discussed previously, the calculations predict a prolate-deformed ground-state band with $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.2, 0^\circ)$. This prediction of the quadrupole-deformation strength is slightly larger than the value deduced by assuming the axial-rotor model and the measured lifetimes of the 2_1^+ , 4_1^+ and 6_2^+ states, resulting $\beta_2 = 0.167(3)$. However, the lifetime of the 6_2^+ state leads to $\beta_2 = 0.12(2)$, which is not compatible with the quadrupole-deformation strengths estimated for the other two states. Such a difference may be attributed to the mixing between the 6_1^+ and 6_2^+ states, since these levels are very close in excitation energy and they

both decay to the 4_1^+ state. A pseudo-gamma band built on top of the 2_2^+ state, having $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.2, 25^\circ)$, and a $K = 4$ band are associated to the ground-state band. Additionally, another prolate-deformed band with $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.35, 0^\circ)$ develops above the 4_4^+ state. Below the 4_4^+ state this band splits into two branches corresponding to strongly mixed configurations. One of them includes the 0_2^+ (oblate shape-mixing) and 2_3^+ (triaxial shape-mixing) states, coupled by a strong E2 transition of 52 W.u. The second branch is formed by the 0_3^+ (prolate-deformed) and the 2_4^+ (oblate-prolate shape-mixing) states.

Figure 12 shows the comparison of the theoretical predictions with experimental data, including excitation energies and reduced transition probabilities deduced from the lifetimes measured in the present work. This comparison allowed us to identify four of these configurations. In particular, thanks to a good agreement between the theoretical and experimental transition strengths it is possible to firmly identify the pseudo-gamma band. However, the experimental information on the structures built on top of the 0_2^+ and 0_3^+ states is too limited to draw conclusions regarding the strongly mixed configurations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The structure of even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ isotopes was investigated via lifetime measurements at GANIL. These neutron-deficient nuclei were populated via an unconventional use of a multi-nucleon transfer reaction and, thanks to the powerful capabilities of the AGATA and VAMOS++ spectrometers, an unambiguous identification of the channels of interest was possible. Moreover, the combination of the magnetic spectrometer with the adopted binary reaction mechanism permitted the reconstruction of the TKEL on an event-by-event basis, which was used in the present work to reduce the contamination of the γ -ray spectra by the scattered ^{106}Cd beam.

Using the RDDS technique, the lifetimes of the 2_1^+ and 4_1^+ states in even-mass $^{102-108}\text{Cd}$ were obtained. Additionally, lifetimes of other 8 states in ^{106}Cd were determined, providing a deep insight into the structure of excited bands in this nucleus.

In view of these experimental results, state-of-the-art beyond-mean-field calculations were performed for the even-mass $^{100-130}\text{Cd}$ nuclei using the symmetry-conserving configuration-mixing approach. Except for the nuclei in proximity of the neutron shell closures, these calculations predict prolate-deformed ground-state bands in the whole Cd isotopic chain. The quadrupole deformation β_2 is in fair agreement with the estimation obtained from the measured lifetimes by assuming an axially-symmetric rotor model. According to the LSSM calculations of Zuker [5], the presence of deformation along the Cd isotopic chain can be attributed to the quadrupole dominance observed for the $Z = 48$ nuclei. The calculations within the SCCM approach show that,

FIG. 12: (Color online) Partial level scheme of ^{106}Cd , reporting low-lying excited states. The numbers on the arrows represent the $B(E2)$ reduced transition probabilities in Weisskopf units. The experimental results are compared with the energy spectrum predicted by the SCCM approach, for which the band-head CWFs are shown.

due to a rearrangement of the $d_{5/2}$ and $g_{9/2}$ orbitals, a deformed closed-shell configuration is obtained for $Z = 48$. As discussed in details in Ref. [69], the semi-magic character of Cd nuclei impacts the $N = 82$ shell quenching problem: all the observables that were attributed to a possible reduction of the $N = 82$ shell closure in proximity of ^{132}Sn can simply be described by invoking the structure of the Cd nuclei.

Despite the similarities between the $Z = 48$ and $Z = 50$ nuclei, in particular with regard to the electromagnetic properties of the 2_1^+ states, the structures of the two isotopic chains are completely different. This result supports the conclusions of our previous work [4] concerning the limited role of the observables related to the 2_1^+ states in investigations of the structure of the $Z \approx 50$ nuclei. For both Cd and Sn, only a precise knowledge of the properties of the 4_1^+ states allows us to shed light on

the structure of the nuclei in question. Experimental information on these states has been shown to be crucial to disentangle between different models and interpretations.

Further experimental and theoretical studies are necessary to fully understand the structure of neutron-deficient Cd nuclei. Theoretical and experimental results suggest that the multiple shape-coexistence interpretation, proposed by Garrett *et al.* for $^{110-112}\text{Cd}$, can be extended to the neutron-deficient region. In this context, future multi-step Coulomb-excitation measurements, benefiting from the lifetimes measured in the present work, will permit to directly determine the (β_2, γ) deformation parameters of the individual nuclear states. Moreover, in view of the predictions presented in this manuscript, the investigation of the structures built on top of the two excited 0^+ states is of great interest. The identification of these bands together with a precise

determination of $E0$ transition strengths for the decay of their band-heads will be crucial to verify the shape-coexistence scenario in these neutron-deficient nuclei.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the AGATA and VAMOS collaborations. Special thanks go to the GANIL technical staff for their help in setting up the apparatuses and the good quality beam. This research was partially supported by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development (grant no. 262010). A.G. acknowledges the support of the Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio Padova e Rovigo under the project CONPHYT, starting grant in 2017. The work of T.R.R. was supported by the Spanish MICINN under Grant No. PGC2018-094583-B-I00. The research was also supported (H.L., J.N. and U.J.) by Swedish Research Council under the grant agreements nos. 822-2005-3332, 821-2010-6024, 821-2013-2304, 621-2014-5558

and 2017-0065, and by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation grant no. 2005.0184, (B.S.) by the Scientific and Technological Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under the project no. 114F473, (A.G. and R.P.) by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación under the contracts nos. SEV-2014-0398, FPA2017-84756-C4 and EEBB-I-15-09671, by the Generalitat Valenciana under the grant agreement no. PROMETEO/2019/005 and by the EU-FEDER funds, (T.M. and S.S.) by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project no. 7194, (I.K. and D.S.) by the Hungarian National Research and Innovation Office (NKFIH) under the project nos. K128947, PD124717 and GINOP-2.3.3-15-2016-00034, (M.P. and G.J.) by the Polish National Science Centre with the grants nos. 2014-14-M-ST2-00738, 2016-22-M-ST2-00269 and 2017-25-B-ST2-01569, and the COPIN-IN2P3, COPIGAL and POLITA projects, (C.M.-G.) by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357. This manuscript owes much to the collaboration with M. Zielińska.

-
- [1] J.J. Ressler, R.F. Casten, N.V. Zamfir, C.W. Beausang, R.B. Cakirli et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 034317 (2004)
 - [2] I.O. Morales, P. Van Isacker, I. Talmi, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 606 (2011)
 - [3] B. Maheshwari, H. Abu Kassim, N. Yusof, A. Kumar Jain, *Nucl. Phys. A* **992**, 121619 (2019)
 - [4] M. Siciliano, J. Valiente-Dobón, A. Goasduff, F. Nowacki, A. Zuker, D. Bazzacco, A. Lopez-Martens, E. Clément, G. Benzoni, T. Braunroth et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **806**, 135474 (2020)
 - [5] A. Zuker, *Phys. Rev. C* (2020), accepted for publication
 - [6] A. Sonzogni et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* **2** (2016)
 - [7] A. Arima, F. Iachello, *Ann. Phys.* **99**, 253 (1976)
 - [8] F. Iachello, A. Arima, *The interacting boson model* (Cambridge University Press, 1987)
 - [9] A. Bohr, B. Mottelson, *Nuclear structure: nuclear deformations*, Vol. 2 (World Scientific, 1998)
 - [10] S. Yates, *J. Phys. G* **31**, S1393 (2005)
 - [11] D.J. Rowe, *Nuclear collective motion: models and theory* (World Scientific, 2010)
 - [12] C. Fahlander, A. Bäcklin, *Nucl. Phys. A* **485**, 318 (1988)
 - [13] H. Lehmann, P. Garrett, J. Jolie, C. McGrath, M. Yeh, S. Yates, *Phys. Lett. B* **387**, 259 (1996)
 - [14] F. Corminboeuf, T. Brown, L. Genilloud, C. Hannant, J. Jolie, J. Kern, N. Warr, S. Yates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4060 (2000)
 - [15] M. Kadi, N. Warr, P. Garrett, J. Jolie, S. Yates, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 031306 (2003)
 - [16] P. Garrett, K. Green, H. Lehmann, J. Jolie, C. McGrath, M. Yeh, S. Yates, *Phys. Rev. C* **75**, 054310 (2007)
 - [17] D. Bandyopadhyay, S. Lesher, C. Fransen, N. Boukharouba, P. Garrett, K. Green, M. McEllistrem, S. Yates, *Phys. Rev. C* **76**, 054308 (2007)
 - [18] P. Garrett, K. Green, J. Wood, *Phys. Rev. C* **78**, 044307 (2008)
 - [19] K. Green, P. Garrett, R. Austin, G. Ball, D. Bandyopadhyay, S. Colosimo, D. Cross, G. Demand, G. Grinyer, G. Hackman et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **80**, 032502 (2009)
 - [20] P.E. Garrett, T.R. Rodríguez, A.D. Varela, K.L. Green, J. Bangay, A. Finlay, R.A.E. Austin, G.C. Ball, D.S. Bandyopadhyay, V. Bildstein et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 142502 (2019)
 - [21] S. Szilner, C.A. Ur, L. Corradi, N. Mărginean, G. Pollaro, A.M. Stefanini, S. Beghini, B.R. Behera, E. Fioretto, A. Gadea et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **76**, 024604 (2007)
 - [22] L. Corradi, G. Pollaro, S. Szilner, *J. Phys. G* **36**, 113101 (2009)
 - [23] J.J. Valiente-Dobón, *Basic Concepts in Nuclear Physics: Theory, Experiments and Applications* **182**, 87 (2016)
 - [24] A. Dewald, S. Harissopulos, P. Von Brentano, *Z. Phys. A* **334**, 163 (1989)
 - [25] A. Dewald, O. Möller, P. Petkov, *Prog. Part. and Nucl. Phys.* **67**, 786 (2012)
 - [26] J.J. Valiente-Dobón, D. Mengoni, A. Gadea, E. Farnea, S.M. Lenzi, S. Lunardi, A. Dewald, T. Pissulla, S. Szilner, R. Broda et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 242502 (2009)
 - [27] S. Pullanhiotan, A. Chatterjee, B. Jacquot, A. Navin, M. Rejmund, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. B* **266**, 4148 (2008)
 - [28] M. Rejmund, B. Lecornu, A. Navin, C. Schmitt et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A* **646**, 184 (2011)
 - [29] M. Vandebrout, A. Lemasson, M. Rejmund, G. Fremont, J. Pancin, A. Navin, C. Michelagnoli, J. Goupil, C. Spitaels, B. Jacquot, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A* **812**, 112 (2016)
 - [30] S. Akkoyun et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A* **668**, 26 (2012)
 - [31] E. Clément, C. Michelagnoli, G. de France, H.J. Li, A. Lemasson, C.B. Dejean et al., *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A* **855**, 1 (2017)
 - [32] B. Bruyneel, B. Birkenbach, J. Eberth, H. Hess, G. Pas-

- covici, P. Reiter, A. Wiens et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **49**, 1 (2013)
- [33] A. Lopez-Martens, K. Hauschild, A. Korichi, J. Roccas, J.P. Thibaud, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A **533**, 454 (2004)
- [34] M. Siciliano, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, A. Goasduff, D. Bazzacco et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B **48**, 331 (2017)
- [35] M. Siciliano, Nuovo Cimento C **40**, 84 (2017)
- [36] M. Siciliano, J. Valiente-Dobón, A. Goasduff, Eur. Phys. J.: Web of Conference **223**, 01060 (2019)
- [37] B. Saha, Ph.D. thesis, Universität zu Köln (2004)
- [38] M. Doncel, A. Gadea, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, B. Quintana, V. Modamio, D. Mengoni, O. Möller, A. Dewald, N. Pietralla, Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 211 (2017)
- [39] J. Litzinger, A. Blazhev, A. Dewald, F. Didierjean, G. Duchêne, C. Fransen, R. Lozeva, K. Sieja, D. Verney et al., Phys. Rev. C **92**, 064322 (2015)
- [40] A.B. Brown, C.W. Snyder, W.A. Fowler, C.C. Lauritsen, Phys. Rev. **82**(2), 159 (1951)
- [41] D. Mengoni, J. Valiente-Dobón, E. Farnea, A. Gadea, A. Dewald, A. Latina, Eur. Phys. J. A **42**, 387 (2009)
- [42] M.R. Bhat, *Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF)*, in *Nuclear Data for Science and Technology*, edited by S.M. Qaim (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1992), p. 817
- [43] N. Boelaert, A. Dewald, C. Fransen, J. Jolie, A. Linemann, B. Melon, O. Möller, N. Smirnova, K. Heyde, Phys. Rev. C **75**(5), 054311 (2007)
- [44] A. Ekström, J. Cederkäll, D.D. DiJulio, C. Fahlander, M. Hjorth-Jensen, A. Blazhev, B. Bruyneel, P.A. Butler, T. Davinson, J. Eberth et al., Phys. Rev. C **80**, 054302 (2009)
- [45] P. Petkov, A. Dewald, P. von Brentano, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. A **457**, 527 (2001)
- [46] G. Müller, A. Jungclaus, O. Yordanov, E. Galindo, M. Hausmann, D. Kast, K.P. Lieb, S. Brant, V. Krstić, D. Vretenar et al., Phys. Rev. C **64**(1), 014305 (2001)
- [47] G. Gusinsky, V. Zvonov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser.Fiz. **47**, 862 (1983)
- [48] W. Andrejtscheff, L.K. Kostov, H. Rotter, H. Prade, F. Stary, M. Senba, N. Tsoupas, Z.Z. Ding, P. Raghavan, Nuclear Physics A **437**, 167 (1985)
- [49] W.T. Milner, F.K. McGowan, P.H. Stelson, R.L. Robinson, R.O. Sayer, Nucl. Phys. A **129**, 687 (1969)
- [50] K.P. Lieb, D. Kast, A. Jungclaus, I.P. Johnstone, G. de Angelis, C. Fahlander, M. de Poli, P.G. Bizzeti, A. Dewald, R. Peusquens et al., Phys. Rev. C **63**(5), 054304 (2001)
- [51] M.T. Esat, D.C. Kean, R.H. Spear, A.M. Baxter, Nucl. Phys. A **274**, 237 (1976)
- [52] A. Kleinfeld, J. Rogers, J. Gastebois, S. Steadman, J. de Boer, Nucl. Phys. A **158**, 81 (1970)
- [53] I. Naqib, A. Christy, I. Hall, M. Nolan, D. Thomas, J. Phys. G **3**, 507 (1977)
- [54] N. Benczer-Koller, G.J. Kumbartzki, K.H. Speidel, D.A. Torres, S.J.Q. Robinson, Y.Y. Sharon, J.M. Allmond, P. Fallon, I. Abramovic, L.A. Bernstein et al., Phys. Rev. C **94**, 034303 (2016)
- [55] J. Egido, Phys. Scripta **91**(7), 073003 (2016)
- [56] L. Robledo, T.R. Rodríguez, R. Rodríguez-Guzmán, J. Phys. G **46**, 013001 (2018)
- [57] C. Rodríguez-Tajes, F. Farget, X. Derkx, M. Caamaño, O. Delaune, K.H. Schmidt, E. Clément, A. Dijon, A. Heinz, T. Roger et al., Phys. Rev. C **81**, 064323 (2010)
- [58] T.R. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 034306 (2014)
- [59] J. Dechargé, D. Gogny, Phys. Rev. C **21**, 1568 (1980)
- [60] J. Berger, M. Girod, D. Gogny, Comp. Phys. Comm. **63**, 365 (1991)
- [61] M. Anguiano, J. Egido, L. Robledo, Nucl. Phys. A **696**, 467 (2001)
- [62] F. McGowan, R. Robinson, P. Stelson, J. Ford, Nuclear Physics **66**, 97 (1965)
- [63] H. Mach, M. Moszyński, R.F. Casten, R.L. Gill, D.S. Brenner, J.A. Winger, W. Krieps, C. Wesselborg, M. Büscher, F.K. Wohn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 143 (1989)
- [64] M. Piiparinen, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, A. Virtanen, P. Ahonen, C. Fahlander, J. Hattula, A. Lampinen, T. Lönnroth, A. Maj et al., Nucl. Phys. A **565**, 671 (1993)
- [65] N.V. Zamfir, R.L. Gill, D.S. Brenner, R.F. Casten, A. Wolf, Phys. Rev. C **51**, 98 (1995)
- [66] Y. Lobach, A. Efimov, A. Pasternak, Eur. Phys. J. A **6**, 131 (1999)
- [67] S. Harissopulos, A. Dewald, A. Gelberg, K. Zell, P. von Brentano, J. Kern, Nucl. Phys. A **683**, 157 (2001)
- [68] S. Ilieva, M. Thürauf, T. Kröll, R. Krücken, T. Behrens, V. Bildstein, A. Blazhev, S. Bönig, P.A. Butler, J. Cederkäll et al., Phys. Rev. C **89**, 014313 (2014)
- [69] T. Rodríguez, J. Egido, A. Jungclaus, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 410 (2008)
- [70] M. Siciliano, I. Zanon, A. Goasduff, P.R. John, T.R. Rodríguez, S. Péru, I. Deloncle, J. Libert, M. Zielinska, D. Ashad et al., Phys. Rev. C **102**, 014318 (2020)
- [71] D.T. Yordanov, D.L. Balabanski, M.L. Bissell, K. Blaum, A. Blazhev, I. Budinčević, N. Frömmgen, C. Geppert, H. Grawe, M. Hammen et al., Phys. Rev. C **98**, 011303 (2018)